

The Missionary Position Mother Teresa In Theory And Practice Christopher Hitchens

The Missionary Position: Mother Teresa in Theory and Practice

Few figures in modern history have commanded the global stage with the quiet authority of Mother Teresa. Born Anjezë Gonxhe Bojaxhiu in Skopje in 1910, she would become synonymous with selfless service, a living icon of charity whose name is uttered in the same breath as compassion. Yet, her life and work present a profound duality. The phrase "**The Missionary Position Mother Teresa In Theory And Practice**" invites us to look beyond the hagiography and examine both the soaring ideals she championed and the gritty, often controversial reality of how those ideals were lived out on the streets of Calcutta and beyond. This is not a simple story of a saint; it is a complex study of what it means to devote oneself entirely to a mission, exploring the harmony and the dissonance between the vision and the execution.

To understand Mother Teresa is to understand the very core of the missionary calling. For her, this was not merely a job or a charitable hobby; it was an existential imperative. The "theory" part of her missionary position was a deeply theological, almost mystical framework. The "practice," however, was a daily, messy, and often heartbreaking negotiation with human suffering. This article dissects that journey, from the quiet chapel where she received her "call within a call" to the crowded, disease-ridden rooms of the Kalighat Home for the Dying, providing a balanced look at the woman, the mission, and the enduring questions she left behind.

The Theoretical Foundation: A Theology of Suffering and Service

The theoretical underpinning of Mother Teresa's work was not born in a boardroom or a university lecture hall. It was born on a train ride to Darjeeling in 1946, a moment she described as her "call within a call." In that moment, she felt a divine instruction to leave the comfortable walls of her convent school and live among the poorest of the poor. This theory was radical: it was not about teaching the poor to fish, but about accompanying them in their suffering. Her mission was not to end poverty—she was famously skeptical of large-scale economic solutions—but to bring the love of God to those who felt unloved.

- **The Gospel on the Ground:** Her theology was simple and direct. She believed that in serving the "distressing disguise of the poor," she was directly serving Jesus Christ. Every leper, every starving child, every dying person was, in her eyes, a tangible manifestation of God.
- **A Rejection of Materialism:** The theory explicitly undervalued material comfort. She saw suffering not as an evil to be eliminated, but as a gift to be embraced. "Suffering, humiliation, poverty," she said, "are the gifts of God." This is a crucial point in understanding the **missionary position mother teresa in theory and practice**; the theory re-frames suffering as spiritually redemptive.
- **The Primacy of Love Over Efficiency:** In theory, her approach prioritized relationship over results. She famously said that her work was not social work. "We are not social workers. We are contemplatives in the heart of the world." The goal was to let the poor know they were loved, even if their physical condition did not improve.

This theoretical framework was powerful. It gave her a clarity of purpose that was almost superhuman. It attracted thousands of young women to join her order, the Missionaries of Charity, who took vows of chastity, poverty, obedience, and a fourth vow: to give "wholehearted free service to the poorest of the poor." The theory was magnetic because it offered absolute meaning in a world of confusion.

The Practice in Calcutta: The Laboratories of Charity

If the theory was the blueprint, the streets of Calcutta were the construction site. The practice of Mother Teresa's mission was raw, visceral, and immediate. In 1952, she opened the Kalighat Home for the Dying, also known as Nirmal Hriday (Place of the Pure Heart). Located next to a temple to the goddess Kali, it was a stark warehouse where the destitute from the streets could come to die with dignity.

The practical reality was brutal. The home was often overcrowded. Hygiene was a constant battle. Critics, including Dr. Jack Preger and Dr. Aroup Chatterjee, have documented serious concerns: the reuse of needles, a lack of differentiation between curable and incurable patients, and a notable absence of modern pain relief. Mother Teresa's practice was directly guided by her theory. Since suffering was holy, the use of strong morphine was considered "tempting God." The practice prioritized the presence of a nun holding a hand and whispering a prayer over the presence of a doctor with a stethoscope and an IV drip.

1. **The Home for the Dying:** Here, practice meant giving a clean bed and a last meal to a person who had been dying on the street. It meant providing a death with human touch. However, it also meant operating without a sustained focus on heroic medical intervention, which many

medical professionals found deeply problematic.

2. **The Shishu Bhavan:** This was the children's home. In practice, it was a bustling, chaotic haven for orphans and abandoned children. It saved thousands of lives from the streets. Yet, allegations of a "black market" for adoptions and the splitting of families where a mother could not afford a child have marred its legacy. The theory of the sanctity of life clashed with the practical economics of running a massive institution.
3. **The Leprosy Program:** This is perhaps where theory and practice aligned most effectively. She established mobile clinics and colonies for leprosy patients, giving them medical care and dignity at a time when they were considered untouchable. The practice here was more holistic, combining medical treatment with vocational training, showing the best of her vision.

The Expansion: From Local Mission to Global Brand

The practice of the missionary position did not stay in Calcutta. By the 1970s, Mother Teresa was an international celebrity. This created a new set of dynamics between theory and practice. The theory was about hidden, humble service. The practice involved flying around the world, meeting presidents and popes, and accepting the Nobel Peace Prize in 1979.

This expansion was a double-edged sword. The practice of accepting donations from dictators and dubious sources (like the billions given by Charles Keating, later convicted of fraud) was defended by the theory that the money was being used for God's work. The practice of accepting awards and media attention was justified by the theory that it brought glory to God and attention to the poor. However, this shift from hiddenness to global celebrity created a cognitive dissonance. The **missionary position mother teresa in theory and practice** now included managing a global empire of 600 missions in over 100 countries. The simple nun with the blue-bordered sari was running a complex organization.

The Controversy: The Gap Between the Sermon and the Street

No honest exploration of this topic can ignore the criticism. The most famous critic was Christopher Hitchens, whose book "The Missionary Position" is a direct polemic against her. He argued that her practice was a "cult of suffering" that did more harm than good.

- **Medical Neglect:** The most damning criticism in practice was the lack of adequate medical care. Witnesses reported that homes lacked proper diagnostic tools, segregating the sick purely by appearance. The theory of suffering as a gift directly informed the practice of withholding pain relief.
- **The Pro-Suffering Stance:** In theory, Mother Teresa appeared to romanticize poverty. She opposed contraception and abortion with a ferocity that critics say contributed to the very overpopulation and suffering she claimed to fight. In practice, this meant her homes often refused to provide family planning advice, even to women who had been raped.
- **Transparency and Finance:** While her order was incredibly wealthy, the homes often operated in a state of deliberate poverty. The theory valued poverty, but the practice of keeping \$100 million+ in the bank while patients suffered from a lack of basic painkillers was seen by many as a failure of practical stewardship.

Defenders argue that these criticisms miss the point. They say the practice was never intended to be a modern hospital. The mission was salvation, not sanitation. The theory provided meaning for lives that had none. A dying man in Kalighat did not care about a sterile needle as much as he cared about a hand to hold in his final moments.

Conclusion: The Enduring Tension of the Mission

The Missionary Position Mother Teresa In Theory And Practice remains a subject of fierce debate precisely because it touches on the deepest questions of human existence. What is the primary purpose of charity? Is it to fix broken bodies or to soothe broken spirits? Mother Teresa chose the latter with a radical, almost frightening consistency. Her theory was a pure, unadulterated gospel of love through suffering. Her practice was a chaotic, flawed, and courageous attempt to live that gospel in the most inhospitable environments on Earth.

She was not perfect. Her practice fell short of modern medical and ethical standards. But to dismiss her entirely is to ignore the millions who felt seen, touched, and loved because of her. The "missionary position" she embodied was one of total surrender. She surrendered her own will, her own comfort, and even, as her private letters revealed, her own sense of God's presence, all for the sake of a mission. In the end, the true legacy of Mother Teresa is not found solely in the success of her theory or the failure of her practice, but in the uncomfortable, unresolved tension between the two—a tension that continues to challenge us all to define what true charity truly means.