

Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm Of Canterbury

The Christian Argument Why That Shaped Christian Thought

For nearly a thousand years, theologians, scholars, and believers have grappled with one of the most profound questions in Christian theology: Why did God become man? While the New Testament offers glimpses of an answer, it was the 11th-century thinker **St. Anselm of Canterbury** who provided the first systematic and sustained treatment of this question in his landmark work, **Cur Deus Homo** (Latin for "Why God Became Man"). This treatise is not merely a historical artifact; it remains a cornerstone of Western soteriology and continues to influence how Christians understand the incarnation and the atonement. In this article, we will explore the context, arguments, and lasting significance of **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm Of Canterbury**, unpacking its key concepts in a way that is both accessible and insightful.

The Historical and Theological Context of Cur Deus Homo

Who Was St. Anselm of Canterbury?

Born in Aosta, Italy, around 1033, Anselm entered the Benedictine monastery at Bec in Normandy, where he eventually became prior and then abbot. His reputation as a brilliant theologian and philosopher grew, leading to his appointment as Archbishop of Canterbury in 1093. Anselm is often called the "Father of Scholasticism" because of his rigorous, dialectical approach to theology. He famously described his method as "faith seeking understanding"—a commitment to using reason to explore and explain the content of Christian belief. This approach is on full display in **Cur Deus Homo**, where he does not simply restate Church doctrine but constructs a logical argument for the necessity of the incarnation.

Why Did Anselm Write Cur Deus Homo?

Anselm wrote **Cur Deus Homo** around 1098, during a period of exile from England. The work is structured as a dialogue between Anselm and his student, Boso. Boso represents the skeptical inquirer, raising objections that Anselm then patiently addresses. Several factors motivated Anselm to write. First, he wanted to provide a rational explanation for the incarnation that could satisfy both believers and those with doubts. Second, he sought to counter alternative views circulating at the time, particularly those that minimized the seriousness of sin or the necessity of Christ's death. Third, and most importantly, Anselm wanted to show that the incarnation was not an arbitrary act of God but a logical and necessary solution to a profound cosmic problem. This is the heart of **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm Of Canterbury**.

Became Man

The Problem of Sin and Divine Honor

Anselm's argument begins with a stark understanding of sin. For Anselm, sin is not merely a moral failing or a violation of a law; it is a failure to give God the honor that is due to Him. Every rational creature owes God perfect obedience and submission. When a creature sins, they "take away" from God's honor. This is not to say that God is diminished or harmed in His being, but rather that the proper order of the universe is disrupted. Anselm uses the analogy of a feudal lord whose honor is insulted by a rebellious vassal. The insult must be addressed, or the lord's honor is effectively compromised in the eyes of the cosmos.

Moreover, Anselm insists that sin creates an infinite debt. Because God is infinite in majesty, any offense against Him carries an infinite weight. A finite being, such as a human, cannot simply "pay back" this debt through good behavior. Even a lifetime of perfect obedience after a single sin would not suffice, because the creature already owes God that obedience as a matter of course. Anselm's logic is relentless: sin creates a debt of honor that humanity cannot repay. Without a solution, the entire human race is doomed to eternal separation from God. This is the problem that sets the stage for the incarnation.

Why Repentance and Forgiveness Alone Are Not Enough

Boso, in the dialogue, suggests a seemingly obvious solution: why cannot God simply forgive sin out of mercy, without requiring any satisfaction? Anselm's response is a key element of **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury**. He argues that simple forgiveness, without satisfaction, would be unjust. God is not only merciful but also just. To simply overlook sin would be to treat it as if it did not matter, which would undermine the moral order of the universe. Justice demands that the debt be paid. Forgiveness without satisfaction would be like a judge letting a criminal go free without any penalty—it would violate the principle of justice that God Himself upholds.

Anselm also argues that if God simply forgave sin without satisfaction, then sin would be "unregulated" and the universe would fall into disorder. The honor of God, which is the foundation of cosmic order, would be compromised. Therefore, while God desires to save humanity, He cannot do so in a way that violates His own nature. This creates a dilemma: humanity must be saved, but humanity cannot save itself. Justice requires satisfaction, and only God can provide a satisfaction of infinite worth. The only solution, Anselm concludes, is for a divine person to become human and offer the required satisfaction. This is the logical necessity behind **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury**.

The Necessity of the God-Man

Anselm's argument culminates in the necessity of a God-man. Only a being who is truly God can offer a satisfaction of infinite value, because only God is infinite. But only a being who is truly human can act on behalf of humanity, because the debt is owed by human beings. Therefore, the redeemer must be both fully God and fully human. This is the incarnation. The God-man, Jesus Christ, offers His life as a voluntary act of obedience. Because He is sinless, He does not owe His life to God as a debt. Therefore, His death is a free gift of infinite value. This gift is offered to God as satisfaction for the debt of human sin.

Anselm's logic is often called the "satisfaction theory" of the atonement. It is important to note that for Anselm, Christ's death is not a payment made to the devil (a view common in earlier theology), nor is it merely a demonstration of God's love. It is a payment made to God the Father, restoring the honor that was taken away by sin. This may sound transactional to modern ears, but for Anselm, it is the highest expression of both divine justice and divine love. The love of God is shown in His willingness to provide the very satisfaction that justice demands. The incarnation is not an afterthought; it is the centerpiece of God's plan from eternity.

Key Concepts in Anselm's Theology

Satisfaction versus Punishment

A crucial distinction in **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury** is between satisfaction and punishment. Anselm argues that sin demands either satisfaction or punishment. If the sinner makes satisfaction, the debt is paid and the relationship is restored. If the sinner does not make satisfaction, punishment must follow to uphold justice. Christ, by offering His life as satisfaction, removes the need for humanity to be punished. This is not a "penal substitution" in the later Reformed sense, where Christ is punished in the place of sinners. Rather, Christ offers a gift of such immense value that it outweighs the debt of sin. Anselm's emphasis is on the objective, cosmic restoration of order rather than a legal transfer of guilt.

The Role of Human Freedom

Anselm is also careful to preserve human freedom. He argues that Christ's work does not compel anyone to be saved. The benefits of the atonement are available to all, but they must be accepted through faith and baptism. Human beings are not passive recipients of salvation; they are called to respond to God's grace. This emphasis on human responsibility is consistent with Anselm's broader theological commitments. He believed that reason and free will are essential aspects of the image of God in humanity, and they are not obliterated by the work of Christ. Rather, they are restored and elevated.

The Cosmic Scope of the Incarnation

Anselm's argument also has a cosmic dimension. He suggests that the number of the elect (those who will be saved) is meant to replace the fallen angels. Humanity was created, in part, to fill the gap left by the rebellion of Lucifer and his followers. The incarnation, therefore, is not only about saving humans; it is about completing God's original plan for creation. This idea adds a layer of grandeur to **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury**. The incarnation is not a

contingency plan; it is the very means by which God brings His creation to its intended perfection.

The Influence and Legacy of Cur Deus Homo

Impact on Medieval and Reformation Theology

Anselm's work had a profound impact on subsequent theology. In the medieval period, figures like Thomas Aquinas incorporated elements of Anselm's satisfaction theory into their own syntheses. Aquinas, however, modified Anselm's emphasis on honor, placing more weight on the idea of satisfaction as a restoration of friendship with God. During the Reformation, Martin Luther and John Calvin drew on Anselm's ideas, though they developed them in different directions. Calvin's doctrine of penal substitution bears some resemblance to Anselm's logic, but with a stronger emphasis on Christ bearing the actual punishment for sin. Despite these differences, **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury** remained a standard text for theological education for centuries.

Modern Critiques and Reinterpretations

In modern times, Anselm's theory has been subject to criticism. Some argue that it projects a feudal, honor-based view of God that is alien to the New Testament. Others contend that it makes God seem vengeful or beholden to a principle of justice that is external to Himself. Feminist and liberation theologians have criticized the theory for its emphasis on sacrifice and submission, which they argue can be used to justify oppressive structures. At the same time, many contemporary theologians have sought to rehabilitate Anselm's insights, arguing that his emphasis on the objective nature of the atonement is a necessary corrective to overly subjective or sentimental views. The ongoing debate is a testament to the enduring power of **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury**.

Why It Still Matters Today

For the modern reader, Anselm's work offers several important lessons. First, it demonstrates the value of rigorous theological thinking. Anselm does not simply repeat clichés; he wrestles with the deepest questions of faith. Second, it reminds us that the incarnation is not an optional add-on to Christianity but the very heart of the gospel. God became man because there was no other way for humanity to be saved. Third, Anselm's work challenges us to take sin seriously. In a culture that often minimizes wrongdoing, Anselm insists that sin has real consequences that cannot be ignored. Finally, **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury** invites us to see the atonement as a work of both justice and love, a perfect harmony of divine attributes.

Conclusion: Faith Seeking Understanding

St. Anselm of Canterbury's **Cur Deus Homo** remains one of the most significant works of Christian theology ever written. Its central question—Why did God become man?—is answered with clarity, logic, and deep reverence. Anselm shows that the incarnation is not a mystery to be accepted in blind faith but a truth that can be understood

and defended by reason. His satisfaction theory, while not without its critics, has shaped the way generations of Christians have thought about salvation. Whether one agrees with every detail of Anselm's argument or not, **Cur Deus Homo Why God Became Man By St Anselm of Canterbury** stands as a monument to the power of faith

seeking understanding. It invites each of us to ponder the profound mystery of a God who loved the world so much that He took on human flesh and offered Himself for our redemption. In doing so, Anselm reminds us that the deepest questions of life deserve our most careful thought—and that the answers, when found,